Kinesiology PhD Preliminary Written Examination Policy and Procedures

Note: The School of Kinesiology adopted a new Preliminary Written Examination Policy in Spring 2007. The new policy applies to doctoral students in Kinesiology. It changes the test from a timed, closed-book exam to an open-book exam. The new preliminary written examination procedure will govern all School of Kinesiology students who enter their doctoral programs beginning Fall semester 2007.

Preliminary Written Examination Procedures

After completing the majority of coursework, including all major area core requirements, the statistics sequence, and research methods requirements, and with the approval of the adviser, a student takes the Preliminary Written Examination. The following policies and procedures govern the conduct of this exam.

Content and Conduct: Consistent with Graduate School guidelines, this examination may cover any work completed in the major field and may include any coursework fundamental to the field. Because of the highly individualized nature of Ph.D. degree programs in Kinesiology, the final content and organization of the examination question(s) are up to the discretion of the adviser and other committee members. It is the responsibility of the adviser to solicit questions from committee members, and to achieve their consensus about the final composition of the questions on the examination. The number of exam questions is at the discretion of the advisor in consultation with the full committee.

The examination is scheduled with, compiled by, and administered by the major adviser. It is conducted as a take-home, open-book examination. At the discretion of the adviser in consultation with the committee, the student will have a minimum of one week up to a maximum of four weeks to complete the exam. In preparing for this examination the student should meet well in advance with the adviser and other committee examiners members to be briefed on what might be expected on the examination and how best to prepare for it. At least 30 days prior to the exam, the adviser, on behalf of committee members, is expected to clearly convey expectations about the examination to the student, such as length and depth of response(s) expected, use of reference citations, and format in which exam should be prepared. The committee reserves the right to submit the student’s responses to electronic plagiarism detection procedures such as Turnitin.com.

The nature of the exam will be determined by the adviser in consultation with the student’s committee members. The exam may consist of specific questions submitted by each of the student’s examining committee members, in which case each committee member submits and grades his/her question. If the adviser in consultation with the committee feels that the student would be better served by an exam that would allow for a more integrated approach, the exam may consist of one or two questions of a broader nature, in which case each committee member would be asked to contribute to the formation of the questions and to independently
grade the exam in its entirety. Outside members are allowed to decline submitting questions for
the exam.

**Evaluation**: When the student’s answers are received, the adviser copies them and distributes
them to the graders along with a copy of these procedural guidelines. The graders must choose
one of the following three evaluations for each question on the exam if questions were submitted
independently by committee members, or provide an evaluation of the overall exam if the
broader, more integrated exam was administered. Committee members then submit their
written evaluations to the adviser for compilation:

- **Pass**
  - acceptable answer(s); responded to all portions of the question(s) adequately and
    accurately as would be expected of a typical Ph.D. student in these degree programs

- **Pass with Reservations**
  - marginally acceptable response(s), some inaccuracies or inadequacies that must be
    corrected in a manner specified by the student’s adviser

- **Fail**
  - did not respond to all parts of the question(s); answers were incomplete, inadequate, or
    inaccurate

In addition to evaluating each question or the overall exam using the above choices, graders will
provide written comments about their evaluation to the adviser. The adviser summarizes these
evaluations and shares the results and comments with the student.

In order for the student to pass the examination, the majority of graders must indicate that the
student has passed. If more than one grader gives a Pass with Reservations grade for the
examination, the adviser follows the procedures for Pass With Reservations as outlined below. If
more than one grader gives a Fail grade, the student does not pass the exam and the adviser
follows the procedures for Retake procedures as outlined below. The adviser shares the results
with the student both verbally and in writing, and provides the summary written evaluations and
the completed Ph.D. in Kinesiology Internal Form for Reporting Preliminary Written Examination
Results to DGS (page 4). In cases where the outside committee member declines to submit
questions for the exam a vote of the majority of the remaining members of the committee will be
needed to pass the exam.

**Pass With Reservations**: 
If the student passes the examination with reservations, the graders are permitted one week to
convey their reservations to the student in writing and inform the student of the steps required to
remove them. The graders have the discretion to determine what is required for reservations to
be removed. The adviser sends this notification to the DGS along with a copy of the student’s
exam, exam questions, and grading forms indicating grades and comments from each grader.
The student has up to three months following the date of the letter to satisfy reservations. When the student has satisfied the graders’ reservations, the adviser sends written notification to the student and DGS that the reservations have been removed and that the student may proceed toward the degree. **The preliminary oral examination may not be scheduled until the reservations have been removed and the Graduate School has been informed.** If the student is unable to satisfy the committee’s reservations, his or her doctoral candidacy and graduate status may be terminated by the program, or the student may be required to retake the examination, as specified below.

**Retake:** If the student does not pass the Preliminary Written Examination, the exam must be retaken in its entirety with a new set of questions and within six months after the date that the student receives the graders’ written feedback on the exam. The retake again must be scheduled with, compiled by, and administered by the adviser and evaluated by the original committee, unless the adviser agrees to a proposed committee substitution. Only one retake is permitted. If the student does not pass the retake exam, his or her doctoral candidacy and graduate status may be terminated by the program.

**Reporting Results:** Following the administration of the Preliminary Written Examination, the attached *Ph.D. in Kinesiology Internal Form for Reporting Preliminary Written Examination Results to the DGS* should be submitted to the Graduate Studies Coordinator along with the exam questions, student responses, final summary evaluations of the graders and exam results, and a Pass With Reservations letter if relevant. The Preliminary Written Examination result is reported by the adviser to the DGS. The CGS reports the results to the Graduate School as a Pass or Pass with Reservations. **Reservations must be removed before the student can schedule the Preliminary Oral Examination.**