UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover^{®®}

Head Coaches of Women's Collegiate Teams

O

A Comprehensive Report on NCAA Division-I Institutions 2017-18



on the second







www.TuckerCenter.org Twitter: @TuckerCenter facebook.com/TuckerCenter

www. G o C o a c h e s . org Twitter: ඔGoCoaches facebook.com/AllianceofWomenCoaches

This report was prepared by Nicole M. LaVoi, Ph.D., co-director, the Tucker Center for Research on Girls & Women in Sport, and member of the Alliance of Women Coaches Board of Directors, and Hannah Silva-Breen the 2018 Gender Equity Tucker Center Summer Research Intern. Please direct all inquiries to nmlavoi@umn.edu.

Acknowledgments:

Thank you to the following individuals for their role in producing this report: McKenzie Arbeiter, Anna Baeth, Courtney Boucher, Kinzee Salo, Hannah Silva-Breen, Jonathan Sweet, and Matea Wasend.

Cover photo feature: Cindy Stein, head women's basketball coach, Southern Illinois University - Carbondale (SIUC). Photo credits to: SIUC Athletic Department.

LaVoi, N. M., & Silva-Breen, H. (2018, July). Head coaches of women's collegiate teams: A comprehensive report on NCAA Division-I institutions, 2017-18. Minneapolis: The Tucker Center for Research on Girls & Women in Sport. The report can be downloaded free of charge at http://www.TuckerCenter.org

© 2018 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer. Opinions expressed herein belong entirely to the authors and do not necessarily represent viewpoints of the Regents of the University of Minnesota.





CEHD | College of Education + Human Development

Head Coaches of Women's Collegiate Teams

A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT OF NCAA DIVISION-I INSTITUTIONS 2017-18

his longitudinal research series, now in its sixth year (2012-18), is a partnership between the Tucker Center for Research on Girls & Women in Sport at the University of Minnesota—the first research center of its kind in the world—and the Alliance of Women Coaches, an organization dedicated to increasing and retaining the number of women in the coaching profession. In this longitudinal research series, we assign a grade to each institution, sport, and conference based on the percentage of women head coaches of women's teams.

In the first benchmark report of this longitudinal research series, *The Decline of Women Coaches in Collegiate Athletics: A Report on Select NCAA Division-I FBS Institutions, 2012–13* (LaVoi, 2013), we detailed the historical decline in the percentage of women head coaches in the 40+ years following the passage of Title IX, explained why this research and women coaches matter and how minority status in the workplace can affect individuals, provided rationale for why examining employment patterns in "big time" athletics programs was important, and reported the percentage of women in all coaching positions in select NCAA Division-I institutions by sport and conference.

In the initial years of the report, we primarily examined a sample of "big time" FBS NCAA Division-I athletic programs. Since then, due to demand and interest in our data, we have widened our scope of research to include Division-II and Division-III programs. In this report, we are widening the scope further to include examination of all NCAA Division-I women's programs.

Purpose

The purpose of the *Women in College Coaching Report Card* [™] research series is multifaceted: 1) to document and benchmark the percentage of women coaches of women's teams in college athletics; 2) to provide evidence that will help retain and increase the percentage of women in the coaching profession; 3) to track the effectiveness of initiatives aimed at reversing the decline of the percentage of women in coaching; 4) to bring awareness while providing an evidence-based starting point for a national discussion on this important issue; and 5) to extend and compliment research on women in sport coaching. In this report we answer the following research question: What percentage of women occupy head coach positions for women's sport teams in NCAA Division-I athletics programs during the 2017-18 academic year?

Methodology

Documenting and adhering to a rigorous methodology is important for transparency, replication, comparison to other data, and consistency in tracking and reporting over time. For a detailed account of our methodology, coding key, data collection, reliability processes, and how we determined and developed grading criteria, see the 2012-13 report (LaVoi, 2013) which can be downloaded at *www.TuckerCenter.org*.

For this report, data was collected between November 1st, 2017 and January 1st, 2018, by visiting each institution's athletics website and reviewing the coaching roster/staff for the 2017-18 academic year for each women's NCAA-sponsored and NCAA-emerging sport team listed. Our goal was to achieve 100% accuracy and many efforts were undertaken to ensure reliable data. As with any data, the numbers reported herein may have a small margin of error.

All individuals listed on the coaching roster as head coach, including interim head coaches, were recorded. Diving coaches were coded as head coaches. A director of sport, common in track & field and swimming & diving, was coded as the head coach if no head women's coach was listed in the staff roster or noted specifically within any of the coach biographies. An individual who occupied the head coach position for two sports (e.g., head coach for track & field and cross country) was coded as two separate coaches.

SAMPLE

The 2017-18 dataset included all head coaches of women's teams (N = 3517) at 349 institutions of higher education in all geographic regions of the United States that were current members of 32 NCAA Division-I conferences. Appendix A summarizes the distribution of schools by conference for 2017-18.

GRADE CRITERIA

The scale used to assign grades is as follows: A = 70-100%, B = 55-69%, C = 40-54%, D = 25-39%, F = 0-24% of female head coaches of women's teams. If rounding up resulted in moving up a grade level, the institution, sport, or conference was placed in the higher grade bracket. Institutions with the same female head coach percentage were ordered alphabetically. For how the grading criteria was developed see past Report Cards.

Results

TOTAL HEAD COACHES

A total of 3517 head coach positions of women's teams from 349 institutions comprised this sample. A small percentage of positions (0.14%, n = 5) remained unfilled at the time of data collection (November 2017 - January 2018) resulting in a final sample of 3512 for analysis. Women held 1463 of the 3517 (41.7%) head coaching positions across 32 Division-I conferences (see Table 1), which is slightly higher (.2%) than the percentage of women head coaches of women's teams in "Select 7 FBS" conferences.

Position	Schools	Female		Ма	Total Coach- es	
	N	%	n	%	п	N
2012 - 13 Heach Coaches*	76	40.2	356	59.8	530	886
2013 - 14 Head Coaches*	76	39.6	352	60.4	536	888
2014 - 15 Head Coaches*	86	40.2	390	59.8	579	969
2015 - 16 Head Coaches*	86	41.1	397	58.9	570	967
2016 - 17 Head Coaches*	86	41.2	397	58.8	567	964
2017 - 18 Head Coaches*	86	41.5	403	58.5	567	970
2017 - 18 All Head Coaches	349	41.7	1463	58.3	2049	3512

TABLE 1. PERCENTAGE OF DIVISION-I WOMEN HEAD COACHES FOR WOMEN'S TEAMS

*Notes head coaches of select 7 NCAA D-I conferences in previous reports (AAC, ACC, BIG 10, BIG 12, Big East, Pac-12, SEC)

BY SPORT

The percentage of women head coaches in 26 sports varied greatly (see Table 2). Field hockey and lacrosse had a large majority of female head coaches. Emerging NCAA sports of rugby and equestrian received As and provide positive examples of hiring women at the outset of program building and development. Diving, fencing, water polo, cross country, track and field, and swimming had a large majority of male head coaches. Table 3 indicates the number of coaches by sport and gender for all NCAA sponsored D-I sports.

Grade	%	Sport
Α	100-70	Lacrosse (91.2%), Rugby (85.7%)*, Field Hockey (84.2%), Equestrian (76.5%)**
В	69-55	Softball (65.3%), Golf (64.4%), Basketball (59.8%)
С	54-40	Gymnastics (54.0%), Bowling (51.4%), Triathlon (50.0%)*, Volleyball (46.8%), Rifle (43.8%)**
D	D 39-25 Beach Volleyball (38.5%), Rowing (38.4%), Tennis (37.3%), Ice Hockey (29.2%), Socce (28.1%)	
F	24-0	Fencing (23.1%), Diving (22.9%), Water Polo (21.9%), Cross Country (20.2%), Nordic Skiing (20.0%)*, Squash (20.0%)*, Swiming (17.9%), Track (17.7%), Alpine Skiing (9.1%)**

TABLE 2. GRADE BY SPORT FOR PERCENTAGE OF FEMALE D-I HEAD COACHES FOR 2017-18

*Offered by ten or fewer schools; **Offered by twenty or fewer schools

		Head Coaches						
	Fen	Female Male						
Sport	%	n	%	n	N			
Alpine Skiing	9.1	1	90.9	10	11			
Basketball	59.8	207	40.2	139	346			
Beach Volleyball	38.5	20	61.5	32	52			
Bowling	51.4	18	48.6	17	35			
Cross Country	20.2	70	79.8	277	347			
Diving	22.9	40	77.1	135	175			
Equestrian	76.5	13	23.5	4	17			
Fencing	23.1	6	76.9	20	26			
Field Hockey	84.2	64	15.8	12	76			
Golf	64.4	170	35.6	94	264			
Gymnastics	54.0	34	46.0	29	63			
Ice Hockey	29.2	7	70.8	17	24			
Lacrosse	91.2	104	8.8	10	114			
Nordic Skiing	20.0	2	80.0	8	10			
Rifle	43.8	7	56.3	9	16			
Rowing	38.4	33	61.6	53	86			
Rugby	85.7	6	14.3	1	7			
Soccer	28.1	93	71.9	238	331			
Softball	65.3	192	34.7	102	294			
Squash	20.0	2	80.0	8	10			
Swimming	17.9	35	82.1	160	195			
Tennis	37.3	117	62.7	197	314			
Track	17.7	59	82.3	275	334			
Triathlon	50.0	1	50.0	1	2			
Volleyball	46.8	155	53.2	176	331			
Water Polo	21.9	7	78.1	25	32			
TOTAL	41.7	1463	58.3	2049	3512			

TABLE 3. HEAD COACH NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE ALPHABETICALLY BY SPORT AND GENDER FOR DIVISION-I WOMEN'S TEAMS 2017-18

BY INSTITUTION

The range for the percentage of women head coaches by institution varied dramatically. Three institutions *at the time of data collection* (Southern Illinois University - Carbondale (SIUC), Florida A&M University, and Delaware State University) had over 80% women head coaches, while two institutions (University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff and Virginia Military Institute) had 0% women head coaches. Cindy Stein, SIUC head women's basketball coach is honored on the cover. See Appendix B for a full list of grades by institution for percentage of women head coaches. Based on the percentage of women head coaches, 18 of the 349 (5.1%) institutions received an A for being above average compared to peer institutions. Fifty-two institutions (14.9%) received a B, 119 institutions (34.1%) received a C, and 106 institutions (30.4%) received a D. Fifty-four institutions (15.5%) received a failing grade of F for having less than 25% women head coaches. Most institutions (76.5%, n = 267) had 50% or fewer women head coaches.

BY CONFERENCE

The Ivy League had the highest percentage (51.7%) while the Horizon League had the lowest percentage (27.8%) of female head coaches (see Table 4). The number of head coaches by conference and gender are in Table 5. See Appendix A for institutional composition of each conference.

TABLE 4. GRADE BY CONFERENCE FOR PERCENTAGE OF NCAA D-I WOMEN HEAD COACHES 2017-18

Grade	Criteria	Conference
Α	100-70	
В	69-55	
с	54-40	Ivy League (51.7%), Northeast (51.4%), Colonial (50.0%). Patriot League (48.7%), American (48.6%), Mid-American (47.5%), Atlantic 10 (47.2%), Pac 12 (46.7%), America East (46.6%), Big 10 (46.2%), Missouri Valley (44.9%), Conference USA (43.0%), MAAC (43.0%), WAC (42.9%), Sun Belt (42.2%), ACC (41.6%), Big West (40.0%)
D	39-25	Ohio Valley (39.1%), Mountain West (39.0%), WCC (38.8%), ASUN (38.4%), Big South (37.8%), SWAC (37.7%), Big East (37.5%), Southern (36.6%), Mid-Eastern (35.3%), Summit League (34.2%), Big Sky (34.0%), SEC (33.3%), Southland (32.0%), Big 12 (31.6%), Horizon League (27.8%)
F	24-0	

TABLE 5. GRADE, NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NCAA D-I WOMEN HEAD COACHES BYCONFERENCE FOR 2017-18

		Fem	ale	Ма		
Conference	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Ivy League	С	51.7	74	48.3	69	143
Northeast	С	51.4	55	48.6	52	107
Colonial	С	50.0	56	50.0	56	112
Patriot League	С	48.7	55	51.3	58	113
American	С	48.6	54	51.4	57	111
Mid-American	С	47.5	58	52.5	64	122
Atlantic 10	С	47.2	68	52.8	76	144
Pac 12	С	46.7	71	53.3	81	152
America East	С	46.6	41	53.4	47	88
Big 10	С	46.2	85	53.8	99	184
Missouri Valley	С	44.9	40	55.1	49	89
Conference USA	С	43.0	55	57.0	73	128
MAAC	С	43.0	52	57.0	69	121
WAC	С	42.9	30	57.1	40	70
Sun Belt	С	42.2	43	57.8	59	102
ACC	С	41.6	72	58.4	101	173

		Fem	ale	Ma		
Conference	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Big West	С	40.0	36	60.0	54	90
Ohio Valley	D	39.1	36	60.9	56	92
Mountain West	D	39.0	48	61.0	75	123
WCC	D	38.8	38	61.2	60	98
ASUN	D	38.4	28	61.6	45	73
Big South	D	37.8	34	62.2	56	90
SWAC	D	37.7	29	62.3	48	77
Big East	D	37.5	36	62.5	60	96
Southern	D	36.6	30	63.4	52	82
Mid-Eastern	D	35.3	36	64.7	66	102
Summit League	D	34.2	25	65.8	48	73
Big Sky	D	34.0	35	66.0	68	103
SEC	D	33.3	52	66.7	104	156
Southland	D	32.0	33	68.0	70	103
Big 12	D	31.6	31	68.4	67	98
Horizon League	D	27.8	27	72.2	70	97

PREVALENCE OF COACHES AT ALMA MATER

We wanted to examine the prevalence of coaching at one's alma mater. We thought that perhaps coaching at one's alma mater might be an accessible, viable and sustainable career pathway

trajectory for women. Alumni of an institution are known entities and therefore have an established network. Lack of access to the old boy's network is an aspect that hinders women from gaining opportunity and access into head coaching positions. Alums also have social capital, know the institution, are effective recruiters, are loyal to the program and can provide program continuity. Given the many barriers women coaches face (LaVoi, 2016), female alumnae might have a less complicated pathway when seeking jobs at their alma mater. We wanted to see if the rate of women versus men coaching women's teams at their alma maters could provide insight into this phenomena. Table 6 illustrates the results (26 coaches were excluded, 24 men and 2 women, due to no alma mater information, N = 3486).

Based on the data, it is clear women do not have an advantage in holding head coaching positions at their alma mater. Not only is the rate similar for women (13.9%) and men (14.9%), but for all the coaches who coach at their alma mater (n = 506), women comprise only 40.3% (204 of 506) of that group. *This is striking because while the men are alums, they did not play on the women's teams they are coaching.* What these data indicate is that men have a dual career pathway to coach at the NCAA D-I level at their alma maters, whereas women alumnae do not. Men are provided the opportunity to coach both men's and women's teams, whereas Wilson (2017) has documented that nearly all (~97%) men's teams are coached by men. For the 3% of women who coach men, a handful might be coaching at their alma mater. More research is needed. So what we thought might be a positive and exciting career trajectory to encourage or explore for women in the coaching profession turned out to be another pathway that advantages men.

	Fem	ale	Ma	ale	Total		
At Alma Mater	%	п	%	n	%	N	
Yes	14.0	204	14.9	302	14.5	506	
No	86.0	1257	85.1	1723	85.5	2980	
Total	100	1461	100	2025	100	3486	

TABLE 6. NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF DIVISION-I COACHES WORKING AT THEIR ALMA MATER BYGENDER FOR 2017-2018

Summary

The goal of this research series is to document the percentage of women collegiate head coaches over time and complement and extend the excellent work in this area conducted by our colleagues. Data matters. The numerous and complex barriers women coaches experience are illuminated in the academic literature (for a full review see *Women in Sports Coaching*, edited by LaVoi, 2016) as well as in many other scholarly works and research reports.

Data in this first comprehensive report for all NCAA Division-I athletic conferences and member institutions will be used as a starting point to examine longitudinal patterns of percentages of women head coaches within NCAA Division-I athletics. Compared to data in prior reports of Select 7 FBS NCAA D-I institutions, the overall percentage of women head coaches of women's teams is slightly higher (+0.2%). The good news is that the data is headed in the right direction— UP! The bad news is that the percentage of women coaches is not increasing in any *statistically*

significant way, and remains remarkably stagnant. Change within any major social institution, happens slowly and over time, and sport is no exception. This data provides a benchmark and documentation to hold decision makers accountable, creates dialogue and awareness, focuses collective and collaborative efforts, and provides a roadmap for where to dedicate resources. Efforts must continue.

As with prior reports and in other NCAA Divisions, the percentage of women head coaches by institution, sport and conference *varied greatly*. However, with the celebration of and recognition that some intercollegiate workplaces employ a majority of women head coaches for their women's teams, room for improvement for those institutions and sports with failing grades is evident.

How the report card is making a difference

The data in this report can be used by institutions, athletics administrators, and sport coaching associations to advocate for women coaches, track progress or decline in comparison to peer institutions, evaluate the effectiveness of strategies aimed at increasing the percentage of women coaches, and hold institutions and decision makers accountable in creating a gender-balanced workforce-especially for women's teams. It can also be used to start and continue discussion and educate and motivate decision makers to think differently about how they recruit, hire, and retain women coaches. Over the last six years, we have had numerous and ongoing discussions about this topic with a variety of stakeholders at every level of sport. We feel these discussions help shift the focus to decision makers and organizational change, and away from the continual blaming of women for the lack of women coaches (e.g., women don't apply, women lack experience, women aren't interested in coaching, women "opt out") which has dominated women in coaching narratives (LaVoi, 2016). How decision makers discuss the stagnation of women in coaching matters because the way something is framed influences how people process that information and what action is taken (or not) to address the issue. For example, based on recent data we found male ADs attributed the lack of women coaches to women (e.g., lack of qualified female coaches, women aren't interested in coaching), while female ADs & SWAs attributed the phenomena to structural factors (success of the old boys' club, conscious/unconscious discrimination in the hiring process) (Kane & LaVoi, 2018). That research is an example of how Tucker Center scholars are using data to educate and challenge these common blaming narratives, and this report card is another such effort.

In discussions with colleagues across the US we have learned about ways in which our reports are being used for social change, ways we could have never anticipated at its inception. Athletic administrators at institutions with A and B *Report Card* grades tell us that they showcase their grade as a "bragging right" to peers, colleagues, donors, trustees and college presidents. ADs also use it, along with institutional Alliance of Women Coaches memberships, to recruit and retain the most talented women, as an above average *Report Card* grade can be proof of a workplace climate that values inclusion and diversity and supports women. Women coaches tell us they use *Report Card* grades as one tool to help them assess workplace climate and goodness of fit when on the job market or making a career move.

In the past year, LaVoi and Wasend (2018) interviewed ADs with above average institutional grades (As and Bs) which is one indicator of a track record of recruiting, hiring and retaining women coaches. She found that, in short, these ADs valued women and explicitly tried to create a workplace culture where women felt valued, supported, appreciated, and cared about "on and of the court." Some caveats about *Report Card* grades are warranted. First, the institutional grade is reflective of one piece of the workplace; an above-average grade may not accurately reflect or guarantee a positive or healthy workplace climate for women, but it is a good general indicator. Additionally, ADs new to an institution, inherit a grade and it is neither fair nor productive to "blame" that person for a below average grade; conversely, some ADs inherit an above average grade. With the data, we can see over an AD's leadership tenure if the grade improves, is sustained, or if it declines. The *Report Card* data provides a visible mechanism of accountability.

Targets of opportunity for change

In our discussions with ADs and assessing six years of data it is clear that a coaching position vacancy provides the biggest target of opportunity to hire women. There are a four ways to realize the opportunity to increase the percentage of women coaches and to move up a grade level:

- Impact is greatest when a female is hired in a position previously occupied by a male.
- Hire a female head coach when an institution adds a new sport.
- Replace an outgoing female coach with another female.
- Change in Athletic Director leadership. Based on the previous Select 7 Division-I Report Cards, the institutions with the greatest rate of coach turnover from year-to-year are often institutions with a new Athletic Director.

Addressing Systemic Change

However, simply "adding more women" is only part of the solution. The greatest target of opportunity to create positive and sustainable social change is to confront the systemic bias that permeates collegiate athletics. Women coaches—no matter the sport, institution or level of competition—face a complex and multi-level (individual, interpersonal, organizational, societal) set of barriers and bias (Hollomon, 2016; LaVoi, 2016; Sabo et al., 2016). Systemic inequalities and gender and racial bias within the context of sport are prevalent. Bias, whether it is conscious or unconscious/implicit, results in unequal treatment, evaluation, perception, and interpretation that can result in overt, gross, or micro-aggressions due to attitudes based on the sex of an employee or group of employees—in the case of this report, women coaches. The social construction of what it means "to coach," and the stereotypical behaviors and ideologies linked with coaching, are associated with men and masculinity (assertive, tough, confident, powerful). Unfortunately, when women coaches "coach" they are often unfairly and negatively evaluated, perceived and interpreted compared to their male counterparts—by athletic directors, media, peers, parents, and athletes. Based on the data, female coaches perceive gender bias very differently and feel it is more pervasive than do their male counterparts; foremost, women coaches perceive it exists, while a majority of their male colleagues do not (Sabo et al., 2016). In fact, many males report reverse discrimination and feel they are disadvantaged in seeking a coaching job in women's athletics (Sabo et al.). Our Report Card data document this belief of male coaches is just not true. Males hold and obtain a

majority of head coaching positions in women's athletics, and occupy nearly ALL head coaching positions in men's athletics (Wilson, 2017). This data provides a counter narrative: reverse discrimination is not reality, it is a perception.

The prevalent and systemic bias in college athletics creates an unpleasant workplace climate for many women and is one reason why women do not enter the coaching profession, are often silenced for speaking out against it, or are driven out by those in power when they call attention to injustice or discrimination. The failure to address bias as well as structural and systemic inequalities are likely reasons why dramatic and statistically significant upward change in the percentage of women head coaches fails to occur. It is simply not possible that as each new generation of females becomes increasingly involved in and shaped by their sport experience, they simultaneously become less interested, less passionate, and less qualified to enter the coaching profession. *We can do better.*

Conclusion

Together, the Tucker Center for Research on Girls & Women in Sport at the University of Minnesota and the Alliance of Women Coaches—along with other organizations, groups and individuals—are striving to increase the percentage of women college coaches, generate awareness, continue a national dialogue, and recruit, support and retain women in the coaching profession. Our vision is that more young women (and men) have female coaches as role models and coaching becomes a more gender-balanced profession. Women who aspire to coach should have legitimate opportunities to enter the workforce, experience a supportive, inclusive and positive work climate when they do, and be paid accordingly and fairly for their expertise. Our efforts aspire to the tagline from the Wellesley Centers for Women: "A world that is good for women is good for everyone"."

To view and download this report and others, go to www.TuckerCenter.org.

References

- Hollomon, N. (2016). Perceived barriers for ethnic minority females in collegiate athletics careers. Retrieved from https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/2015RES_BarriersReport2015_20160506.pdf
- Kane, M. J., & LaVoi, N. M. (2018). An examination of athletic administrators' perceptions regarding the absence of female head coaches in women's intercollegiate sports. *Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal*, 26, 3-11. DOI: 10.1123/wspaj.2016-0031
- LaVoi, N. M. (Ed.) (2016). Women in Sports Coaching. London, UK: Routledge.
- LaVoi, N. M., & Wasend, M. (2018). *Athletics administration best practices of recruitment, hiring and retention of head women collegiate coaches.* Minneapolis, MN: The Tucker Center for Research on Girls & Women in Sport.
- Sabo, D., Veliz, P., & Staurowsky, E. J. (2016). *Beyond X's & O's: Gender bias and coaches of women's college sports*. East Meadow, NY: Women's Sports Foundation.
- Wilson, A. (2017, June). 45 years of Title IX: The status of women in intercollegiate athletics. Retrieved from http://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/TitleIX45-295-FINAL_WEB.pdf

APPENDIX A NCAA DIVISION I CONFERENCE COMPOSITION 2017-18

America East Conference

Binghamton University Stony Brook University University at Albany - State University of New York

American Athletics Conference (American)

East Carolina University Southern Methodist University Temple University Tulane University

Atlantic 10 Conference

Davidson College Duquesne University Fordham University George Mason University George Washington University

Atlantic Coast Conference (ACC)

Boston College Clemson University Duke University Florida State University Georgia Institute of Technology North Carolina State University

Atlantic Sun Conference (ASUN)

Florida Gulf Coast University Jacksonville University Kennesaw State University

Big 10 Conference

Indiana University Michigan State University Northwestern University Ohio State University Pennsylvania State University

Big 12 Conference

Baylor University Iowa State University Kansas State University Oklahoma State University University of Hartford University of Maine University of Maryland, Baltimore County

University of Central Florida University of Cincinnati University of Connecticut University of Houston

La Salle University St. Bonaventure University Saint Joseph's University Saint Louis University University of Dayton

Syracuse University University of Louisville University of Miami University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill University of Notre Dame

Lipscomb University New Jersey Institute of Technology Stetson University

Purdue University Rutgers University University of Illinois University of Iowa University of Maryland

Texas Christian University Texas Tech University University of Kansas University of Oklahoma University of Massachusetts, Lowell University of New Hampshire, Durham University of Vermont

University of Memphis University of South Florida University of Tulsa Wichita State University

University of Massachusetts, Amherst University of Rhode Island University of Richmond Virginia Commonwealth University

University of Pittsburgh University of Virginia Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Wake Forest University

University of North Florida University of South Carolina Upstate

University of Michigan University of Minnesota University of Nebraska, Lincoln University of Wisconsin, Madison

University of Texas at Austin West Virginia University

Big East Conference

Butler University Creighton University DePaul University Georgetown University

Big Sky Conference

California State University, Sacramento Eastern Washington University Idaho State University Montana State University

Big South Conference

Campbell University Charleston Southern University Gardner-Webb University High Point University

Big West Conference

California Polytechnic State University California State University, Fullerton California State University, Long Beach

Colonial Athletic Association (Colonial)

College of Charleston College of William & Mary Drexel University Elon University

Conference USA

Florida Atlantic University Florida International University Louisiana Tech University Marshall University Middle Tennessee State University

Horizon League

Cleveland State University University of Detroit Mercy University of Wisconsin - Green Bay

Ivy League

Brown University Columbia University Cornell University Marquette University Providence College St. John's University Seton Hall University

Northern Arizona University Portland State University Southern Utah University University of Idaho University of Montana

Liberty University Longwood University Presbyterian College Radford University

California State University, Northridge University of California, Davis University of California, Irvine University of California, Riverside

Hofstra University James Madison University Northeastern University Towson University

Old Dominion University Rice University University of Alabama at Birmingham University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Indiana University - Purdue University, Indianapolis University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee Northern Kentucky University

Dartmouth College Harvard University Princeton University Villanova University Xavier University

University of North Dakota University of Northern Colorado Weber State University

University of North Carolina at Asheville Winthrop University

University of California, Santa Barbara University of Hawaii at Manoa

University of Delaware University of North Carolina, Wilmington

University of North Texas University of Southern Mississippi University of Texas at El Paso University of Texas at San Antonio Western Kentucky University

Oakland University University of Illinois at Chicago Wright State University Youngstown State University

University of Pennsylvania Yale University

Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference (MAAC)

Canisius College Fairfield University Iona College Manhattan College

Mid-American Conference

Ball State University Bowling Green State University Central Michigan University Eastern Michigan University Kent State University

Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference

Bethune-Cookman University Coppin State University Delaware State University Florida A&M University Hampton University

Missouri Valley Conference

Bradley University Drake University Illinois State University Indiana State University

Mountain West Conference

Boise State University United State Air Force Academy California State University, Fresno Colorado State University

Northeast Conference

Bryant University Central Connecticut State University Fairleigh Dickinson University Long Island University - Brooklyn

Ohio Valley Conference

Austin Peay State University Belmont University Eastern Illinois University Eastern Kentucky University Jacksonville State University Marist College Monmouth University Niagara University Quinnipiac University

Miami University Northern Illinois University Ohio University University at Buffalo – State University of New York

Howard University Morgan State University Norfolk State University North Carolina A&T State University North Carolina Central University

Loyola University - Chicago Missouri State University Southern Illinois University University of Evansville

San Diego State University San Jose State University University of Nevada, Reno University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Mount St. Mary's University Robert Morris University Sacred Heart University

Morehead State University Murray State University Southeast Missouri State University Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville Rider University Saint Peter's University Siena College

University of Akron University of Toledo Western Michigan University

Savannah State University South Carolina State University University of Maryland Eastern Shore

University of Northern Iowa Valparaiso University

University of New Mexico University of Wyoming Utah State University

Saint Francis University (Pennsylvania) St. Francis College of Brooklyn Wagner College

Tennessee State University Tennessee Technological University University of Tennessee at Martin

Pacific-12 Conference (Pac 12)

Arizona State University Oregon State University Stanford University University of Arizona

Patriot League

American University Boston University Bucknell University Colgate University

Southeastern Conference (SEC)

Auburn University Louisiana State University Mississippi State University Texas A&M University University of Alabama

Southern Conference

The Citadel East Tennessee State University Furman University Mercer University Samford University

Southland Conference

Abilene Christian University Houston Baptist University Lamar University McNeese State University Nicholls State University

Summit League

Indiana University - Purdue University, Fort Wayne North Dakota State University

Sun Belt Conference

Appalachian State University Arkansas State University Coastal Carolina University Georgia Southern University

Southwestern Athletic Conference (SWAC)

Alabama A&M University Alabama State University Alcorn State University Grambling State University University of California, Berkeley University of California, Los Angeles University of Colorado, Boulder University of Oregon

College of the Holy Cross Lafayette College Lehigh University Loyola University - Maryland

University of Arkansas University of Florida University of Georgia University of Kentucky University of Mississippi

University of North Carolina at Greensboro University of Tennessee at Chattanooga Virginia Military Institute

Northwestern State University Sam Houston State University Southeastern Louisiana University Stephen F. Austin State University Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi

Oral Roberts University South Dakota State University University of Denver

Texas State University Troy University University of Arkansas at Little Rock University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Jackson State University Mississippi Valley State University Prairie View A&M University Southern University, Baton Rouge University of Southern California University of Utah University of Washington Washington State University

United State Military Academy United States Naval Academy

University of Missouri University of South Carolina University of Tennessee Vanderbilt University

Western Carolina University Wofford College

University of Central Arkansas University of the Incarnate Word University of New Orleans

University of Nebraska, Omaha University of South Dakota Western Illinois University

University of Louisiana at Monroe University of South Alabama University of Texas at Arlington

Texas Southern University University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff

Western Athletic Conference (WAC)

California State University, Bakersfield Chicago State University

West Coast Conference (WCC)

Brigham Young University Gonzaga University Loyola Marymount University Pepperdine University Grand Canyon University New Mexico State University Seattle University

Saint Mary's College Santa Clara University University of the Pacific University of Portland University of Missouri - Kansas City University of Texas Rio Grande Valley Utah Valley University

University of San Diego University of San Francisco

APPENDIX B

GRADE, PERCENTAGE, AND NUMBER OF WOMEN HEAD COACHES BY INSTITUTION 2017-18

		Fer	nale	Ma		
School	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Southern Illinois University - Carbondale	A	87.5	7	12.5	1	8
Florida A&M University	Α	85.7	6	14.3	1	7
Delaware State University	A	81.8	9	18.2	2	11
Coastal Carolina University	A	80.0	8	20.0	2	10
University of Cincinnati	А	80.0	8	20.0	2	10
University of Northern Colorado	A	80.0	8	20.0	2	10
Saint Joseph's University	A	77.8	7	22.2	2	9
University of Central Florida	A	77.8	7	22.2	2	9
Boston University	A	75.0	9	25.0	3	12
Quinnipiac University	A	75.0	9	25.0	3	12
Texas Southern University	A	75.0	6	25.0	2	8
Texas State University	A	75.0	6	25.0	2	8
University of Missouri - Kansas City	Α	75.0	6	25.0	2	8
Monmouth University	Α	72.7	8	27.3	3	11
Houston Baptist University	Α	71.4	5	28.6	2	7
Southeast Missouri State University	Α	71.4	5	28.6	2	7
Tennessee State University	Α	71.4	5	28.6	2	7
Central Michigan University	A	70.0	7	30.0	3	10
California State University - Fresno	В	69.2	9	30.8	4	13
Princeton University	В	66.7	12	33.3	6	18
University of Minnesota - Twin Cities	В	64.3	9	35.7	5	14
Lafayette College	В	63.6	7	36.4	4	11
Southern Methodist University	В	63.6	7	36.4	4	11
University of Alabama at Birmingham	В	63.6	7	36.4	4	11
University of Washington	В	63.6	7	36.4	4	11
Alabama A&M University	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
Longwood University	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of California - Berkeley	В	62.5	10	37.5	6	16
University of Hartford	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of Massachusetts - Lowell	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of North Carolina at Asheville	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of San Francisco	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of South Florida	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of Texas at San Antonio	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
Virginia Commonwealth University	В	62.5	5	37.5	3	8
University of Delaware	В	61.5	8	38.5	5	13

		Fen	nale	Ma		
School	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Binghamton University - State University of New York	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
California State University - Bakersfield	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
Columbia University	В	60.0	9	40.0	6	15
Davidson College	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
Loyola University - Maryland	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
Northeastern University	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
Stetson University	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
University of Miami	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
University of Oklahoma	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
University of Rhode Island	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
University of San Diego	В	60.0	6	40.0	4	10
George Washington University	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
Long Island University - Brooklyn	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
Northwestern University	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
San Diego State University	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
University of Illinois at Chicago	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
University of Tennessee	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
Wagner College	В	58.3	7	41.7	5	12
Bradley University	В	57.1	4	42.9	3	7
Brown University	В	57.1	12	42.9	9	21
James Madison University	В	57.1	8	42.9	6	14
Rice University	В	57.1	4	42.9	3	7
University of California, Los Angeles	В	57.1	8	42.9	6	14
Appalachian State University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Eastern Illinois University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Hofstra University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
The University of North Carolina at Charlotte	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Northern Illinois University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Old Dominion University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Seton Hall University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
University at Buffalo - State University of New York	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Washington State University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Western Michigan University	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Wofford College	В	55.6	5	44.4	4	9
Bowling Green State	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Bryant University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11

		Fen	nale	Ma		
School	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Florida Atlantic University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Florida State University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Grand Canyon University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Jacksonville University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Temple University	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
University of Illinois	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
University of Maryland	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
University of Massachusetts Amherst	С	54.5	6	45.5	5	11
Yale University	С	52.9	9	47.1	8	17
Dartmouth College	С	52.6	10	47.4	9	19
Ball State University	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
Bethune-Cookman University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Colgate University	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
California State University, Fullerton	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
The Citadel, The Military College of South Carolina	с	50.0	3	50.0	3	6
Clemson University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
College of William and Mary	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
Duke University	С	50.0	7	50.0	7	14
Eastern Michigan University	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
Fairleigh Dickinson University, Metropolitan Campus	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Fordham University	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Georgia Institute of Technology	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Gonzaga University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Idaho State University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Jackson State University	С	50.0	3	50.0	3	6
Lehigh University	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
Manhattan College	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Mount St. Mary's University	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12
Oregon State University	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Presbyterian College	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Sacred Heart University	С	50.0	9	50.0	9	18
Saint Peter's University	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Southern University, Baton Rouge	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
Stanford University	С	50.0	9	50.0	9	18
St. Francis College of Brooklyn	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
Stony Brook University	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10
University of Arkansas at Little Rock	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8
University of California, Davis	С	50.0	7	50.0	7	14

		Fen	nale	M			
School	Grade	%	% n		% n		
University of California, Irvine	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
University of Montana	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
University of Nevada, Las Vegas	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10	
University of New Hampshire	С	50.0	7	50.0	7	14	
University of New Orleans	С	50.0	3	50.0	3	6	
University of North Carolina at Greensboro	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
University of Richmond	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10	
University of South Carolina	С	50.0	6	50.0	6	12	
University of Southern Mississippi	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
University of Toledo	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10	
Valparaiso University	С	50.0	5	50.0	5	10	
Wake Forest University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
Western Carolina University	С	50.0	4	50.0	4	8	
Ohio State University	С	47.1	8	52.9	9	17	
Pennsylvania State University	С	46.7	7	53.3	8	15	
University of Michigan	С	46.7	7	53.3	8	15	
Georgetown University	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
Louisiana State University	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
Michigan State University	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
Saint Francis University (Pennsylvania)	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
Towson University	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
University of Iowa	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
University of Louisville	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
University of New Mexico	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
University of Virginia	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
Villanova University	С	46.2	6	53.8	7	13	
California Polytechnic State University	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Florida International University	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Illinois State University	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Loyola Marymount University	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Missouri State University	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Niagara University	C	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
University of Nevada, Reno	C	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
University of North Carolina at Wilmington	С	45.5	5	54.5	6	11	
Harvard University	С	45.0	9	55.0	11	20	
Austin Peay State University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
Drake University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
East Tennessee State University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	

A REPORT ON HEAD COACHES OF ALL NCAA DIVISION-I TE	AMS
--	-----

School	Female			Ма	Male		
	Grade	%	n	%	n	N	
Georgia State University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
Indiana State University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
Prairie View A&M University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
Robert Morris University	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
St. John's University	C	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
University at Albany - State University of New York	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
University of California, Santa Barbara	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
University of Dayton	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
University of Memphis	С	44.4	4	55.6	5	9	
Eastern Kentucky University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Eastern Washington University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
High Point University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Kansas State University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Marquette University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Nicholls State University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Oral Roberts University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Rutgers University	С	42.9	6	57.1	8	14	
South Carolina State University	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville	С	42.9	3	57.1	4	7	
Texas Christian University	С	41.7	5	58.3	7	12	
United States Naval Academy	С	41.7	5	58.3	7	12	
University of Florida	С	41.7	5	58.3	7	12	
Cornell University	С	41.2	7	58.8	10	17	
Arizona State University	С	40.0	6	60.0	9	15	
College of Charleston (South Carolina)	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Drexel University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Elon University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Mercer University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Miami University (Ohio)	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
New Mexico State University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Ohio University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Radford University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Saint Louis University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
Tulane University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
University of Colorado, Boulder	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	С	40.0	6	60.0	9	12	
University of North Florida	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10	

School		Fen	nale	Ma	ale	
	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
University of Oregon	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10
University of Pittsburgh	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10
Western Illinois University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10
Winthrop University	С	40.0	4	60.0	6	10
Bucknell University	D	38.5	5	61.5	8	13
Indiana University	D	38.5	5	61.5	8	13
University of Notre Dame	D	38.5	5	61.5	8	13
University of Southern California	D	38.5	5	61.5	8	13
American University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Boston College	D	37.5	6	62.5	10	16
Lamar University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Lipscomb University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
McNeese State University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Murray State University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Northern Kentucky University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Portland State University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Texas Tech University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Troy University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
University of California, Riverside	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
University of Central Arkansas	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
University of Pennsylvania	D	37.5	6	62.5	10	16
University of Portland	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Weber State University	D	37.5	3	62.5	5	8
Brigham Young University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
Duquesne University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
East Carolina University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
Georgia Southern University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
Iona College	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
Liberty University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
South Dakota State University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
Texas A&M University	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
United States Air Force Academy	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
United States Military Academy	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
University of Akron	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
University of Denver	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
University of Texas	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
University of Vermont	D	36.4	4	63.6	7	11
University of Nebraska, Lincoln	D	35.7	5	64.3	9	14
University of Utah	D	35.7	5	64.3	9	14

A REPORT ON HEAD COACHES OF ALL NCAA DIVISION-	I TEAMS
--	---------

School		Fen	nale		ale	
	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Alabama State University	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
Auburn University	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
College of the Holy Cross	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
Furman University	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
Marist College	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
North Carolina State University	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
Stephen F. Austin State University	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
St. Mary's College of California	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
University of Arizona	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
University of Georgia	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
University of Hawaii at Manoa	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
University of Maryland, Baltimore County	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
University of Mississippi	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
University of Texas at El Paso	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
University of Wisconsin - Madison	D	33.3	4	66.7	8	12
Wright State University	D	33.3	3	66.7	6	9
University of Connecticut	D	30.8	4	69.2	9	13
Canisius College	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Colorado State University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Florida Gulf Coast University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Kennesaw State University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Long Beach State University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Marshall University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Pepperdine University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Purdue University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Rider University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Seattle University	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
University of Houston	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
University of Nebraska, Omaha	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
University of Northern Iowa	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
University of North Texas	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
University of South Dakota	D	30.0	3	70.0	7	10
Chicago State University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7
Coppin State University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7
DePaul University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7
Indiana University-Purdue University, Fort Wayne	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7
La Salle University	D	28.6	4	71.4	10	14
Loyola University - Chicago	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7

School		Fer	nale	Male			
	Grade	%	n	%	n	N	
North Dakota State University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
Tennessee Technological University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
University of South Carolina Upstate	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
University of Tennessee at Martin	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
University of Texas at Arlington	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
Utah Valley University	D	28.6	2	71.4	5	7	
Butler University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Cleveland State University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
George Mason University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Howard University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Iowa State University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Providence College	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
University of the Incarnate Word	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
University of Kansas	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Youngstown State University	D	27.3	3	72.7	8	11	
Alcorn State University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Belmont University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Creighton University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Fairfield University	D	25.0	3	75.0	9	12	
Mississippi State University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Montana State University - Bozeman	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
North Carolina A&T State University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Samford University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Siena College	D	25.0	3	75.0	9	12	
University of Alabama	D	25.0	3	75.0	9	12	
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
University of the Pacific	D	25.0	3	75.0	9	12	
University of South Alabama	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Utah State University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Western kentucky University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Xavier University	D	25.0	2	75.0	6	8	
Boise State University	F	23.1	3	76.9	10	13	
San Jose State University	F	23.1	3	76.9	10	13	
Baylor University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9	
Charleston Southern University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9	
Louisiana Tech University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9	
Northern Arizona University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9	

A REPORT ON HEAD COACHES OF ALL NCAA DIVISION-I TE	AMS
--	-----

	Female			Ma	Male	
School	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
Oakland University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
Sam Houston State University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
St. Bonaventure University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
University of Detroit Mercy	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
University of Idaho	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
University of Louisiana at Monroe	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
University of Maine	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
University of Tulsa	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
Vanderbilt University	F	22.2	2	77.8	7	9
California State University, Northridge	F	20.0	2	80.0	8	10
Campbell University	F	20.0	2	80.0	8	10
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis	F	20.0	2	80.0	8	10
California State University, Sacramento	F	18.2	2	81.8	9	11
Santa Clara University	F	18.2	2	81.8	9	11
University of Arkansas	F	18.2	2	81.8	9	11
University of Missouri	F	18.2	2	81.8	9	11
North Carolina Central University	F	16.7	1	83.3	5	6
University of Kentucky	F	16.7	2	83.3	10	12
Abilene Christian University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Grambling State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Mississippi Valley State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Morgan State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
New Jersey Institute of Technology	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Norfolk State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Northwestern State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Savannah State University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Southeastern Louisiana University	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
University of Evansville	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
University of Texas Rio Grande Valley	F	14.3	1	85.7	6	7
Arkansas State University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Hampton University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Jacksonville State University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Middle Tennessee State University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Morehead State University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Oklahoma State University	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
University of North Dakota	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8

		Female		Male		
School	Grade	%	n	%	n	N
University of Tennessee at Chattanooga	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee	F	12.5	1	87.5	7	8
Gardner - Webb University	F	11.1	1	88.9	8	9
Kent State University	F	11.1	1	88.9	8	9
Southern Utah University	F	11.1	1	88.9	8	9
University of Wyoming	F	11.1	1	88.9	8	9
University of Wisconsin–Green Bay	F	10.0	1	90.0	9	10
Syracuse University	F	9.1	1	90.9	10	11
West Virginia University	F	9.1	1	90.9	10	11
University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff	F	0.0	0	100.0	7	7
Virginia Military Institute	F	0.0	0	100.0	7	7

A report designed to make a difference in the lives of girls and women in sport and to increase the number of women in the coaching profession







School of Kinesiology

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION + HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

University of Minnesota

